	Case 2:23-cv-01045-DJC-CSK Document 2	L5 Filed 04/30/24	Page 1 of 3
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	PAUL CHILDS,	Case No.: 2:23	3-cv-01045-DJC-CSK
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	VDRP REFERR	AL ORDER
14	HOME DEPOT USA, INC., ET AL.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On June 2, 2023, Defendants Home Depot USA, Inc. and Romanoff Floor		
18	Covering, Inc. removed this action from the Superior Court of California for the		
19	County under the 28 U.S. Code § 1441: Petition for Removal–Breach of Contract.		
20	(ECF No. 1.) Thereafter, on June 14, 2023, Defendants filed an Answers to the		
21	Complaint. (ECF Nos. 5 and 6.) Parties have now filed a Joint Mid-Discovery		
22	Statement. (ECF No. 14.)		
23	In the interest of avoiding the accumulation of fees and costs through		
24	potentially unnecessary discovery and motion practice, and to allow the parties		
25	sufficient time to pursue an early informal resolution of this matter, IT IS HEREBY		
26	ORDERED that:		
27	///		
28	///	4	
	.l	1	

Case 2:23-cv-01045-DJC-CSK Document 15 Filed 04/30/24 Page 2 of 3

- 1. This action is STAYED for one-hundred and twenty (120) days, except as set forth herein, and all previously set deadlines and hearings are VACATED pending further order of the court.
- 2. If parties have not already made all initial disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), they must do so within fourteen (14) days of this order.
- 2. The parties are directed to promptly meet and confer to discuss settlement of this action. The parties must ensure that they have full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms. Plaintiff should initiate settlement discussions by providing a written itemization of damages and a meaningful settlement demand that includes an explanation of why the demand is appropriate. Defendant should respond with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, and which includes an explanation of why the counteroffer is reasonable. The parties should continue in this way until they reach settlement or have exhausted informal settlement efforts.
- 3. If the parties have not been able to informally reach a settlement within forty-five (45) days, the parties shall thereafter initiate participation in the court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program ("VDRP") by contacting the court's VDRP administrator, Sujean Park, at (916) 930-4278 or SPark@caed.uscourts.gov, and file a notice on the court's docket stating they have contacted the VDRP administrator.¹
- 4. Counsel shall carefully review and comply with Local Rule 271, which outlines the specifications and requirements of the VDRP.
- 5. No later than fourteen (14) days after completion of the VDRP session, the parties shall jointly file their VDRP Completion Report, consistent with Local Rule 271(o).

¹ The resources of the VDRP program are limited, and the parties are expected to make good faith efforts to timely and fully exhaust informal settlement efforts prior to initiating participation in the VDRP. The court will look with disfavor upon parties stalling or failing to participate in the above-mentioned initial informal discussions, prompting potentially unnecessary participation in the VDRP and straining the program's resources.

	Case 2:23-cv-01045-DJC-CSK	Document 15 Filed 04/30/24 Page 3 of 3
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2		
3	Dated: April 29, 2024	/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta
4		THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3
l		$\overline{\bullet}$